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Year of Next Visit: 2016

Section One:
Checklist of required elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part I Statistical Report</th>
<th>Included</th>
<th>Not Included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part II Narrative Report</td>
<td>Included</td>
<td>Not Included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section Two:
Assessment of Narrative Report

DEFICIENCIES
Human Resources
Although the teaching/research/service load for tenured faculty is still 50/30/20, the program has made progress addressing this deficiency: the addition of several new faculty has eliminated the need for faculty to teach more than one studio/semester and the student-faculty ratio in studios has improved.

Physical Resources
The program has invested significant funds in the East Hills construction research lab, which defines the “fundamental character of the program.” In addition, some of the facilities have been consolidated. The program provided no new information on the capital campaign for an addition to Marvin Hall.

Financial Resources
No new information from that provided in the 2011 annual report.

CAUSES OF CONCERN
Leadership
No new information from that provided in the 2011 annual report.

Faculty
The program’s efforts to address this concern are noted.

Teaching Assignments and Faculty Development
The 2011 annual report said that this concern would be addressed in a new strategic plan that would be in effect in fall 2011. The 2012 report notes that the new plan was postponed while the university developed its own plan. The school’s plan will be vetted by the architecture faculty and approved by the end of the 2012-13 academic year.

Physical Resources
See above.

CHANGES TO THE ACCREDITED PROGRAM